

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/515,675	KASHIMA, KEIJI	
	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Tarifur R Chowdhury	2871	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tarifur R Chowdhury. (3)_____.

(2) Mr. Michael Britton. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 22 June 2004.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 6.

Identification of prior art discussed: USPAT 5,126,882 and 6,044,196.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative presented the difference between the claimed invention and the applied references. The examiner notified applicant's representative that further consideration and/or search would be needed to define patentability.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


TARIFUR R. CHOWDHURY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 09/515,675Examiner: T. ChowdhuryArt Unit: 2871First Named Applicant: Keiji KASHIMAStatus of Application: Rejected**Tentative Participants:**(1) T. Chowdhury(2) Michael Britton

(3) _____

(4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: 06/02/04Proposed Time: 10:30

(AM/PM)

Type of Interview Requested:(1) ☐ Telephonic(2) ☒ Personal(3) ☐ Video ConferenceExhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) Rej, §103	Claims 1-12	Winston, Oe, Hou	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached**Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:**See Request for Reconsideration filed 03/30/04.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of substance of this interview (37 CRR 1.133(b) as soon as possible.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)